

Randomness for Continuous Measures 2

Theodore A. Slaman
(joint with Jan Reimann)

University of California, Berkeley



January 2009

Question

For which sequences $X \in 2^\omega$ do there exist (representations of) continuous probability measures μ such that X is random for μ ?

Failures of Continuous Randomness

Theorem (Kjos-Hanssen and Montalbán)

Suppose that P is a countable Π_1^0 -class and $X \in P$. Then there is no continuous μ such that X is 1 - μ -random.

Definition

$X \in NCR_k$ if and only if there is no representation m of a continuous measure μ such that X is k -random relative to the representation m of μ .

By Kjos-Hanssen and Montalbán, every element of a countable Π_1^0 -class belongs to NCR_1 .

The Hyperarithmetical Sets

Definition

Suppose that $<$ is a linear ordering of ω . A jump hierarchy along $<$ is a function J from ω to 2^ω such that

- ▶ If m is the immediate successor of n in $<$, then $J(m) = J(n)'$.
- ▶ If l is a limit in $<$, then $J(l) = \{2^n 3^i : n < l \text{ and } i \in J(n)\}$.

If $<$ is a well-ordering, then there is a unique jump hierarchy along $<$.

Definition

A set X is hyperarithmetical iff there is a recursive well-ordering of ω with jump hierarchy J and an n such that $X \leq_T J(n)$.

Theorem (Kreisel, 1959)

- ▶ *If X is an element of a countable Π_1^0 set, then X is hyperarithmetical.*
- ▶ *Every hyperarithmetical Y is recursive in some H which is an element of a countable Π_1^0 set.*

Consequently, the Turing degrees of the elements of NCR_1 are cofinal in the Turing degrees of the hyperarithmetical sets.

Corollary

There is a set X such that the following conditions hold

- ▶ *X is not hyperarithmetical.*
- ▶ *There is no continuous μ with a representation m hyperarithmetical in X such that X is $1-\mu$ -random relative to m .*

Corollary

There is a set X such that the following conditions hold

- ▶ *X is not hyperarithmetical.*
- ▶ *There is no continuous μ with a representation m hyperarithmetical in X such that X is $1-\mu$ -random relative to m .*

Proof

Take a nonstandard version of one of Kreisel's P 's and H 's.

Higher orders of randomness

basic observations

Fact (Well-known)

Suppose that $k > 1$ and X is k -random for μ .

- ▶ *μ' is not recursive in X .*
- ▶ *Every function recursive in X is dominated by a function recursive in μ' .*

Higher orders of randomness

basic observations

Fact (Well-known)

Suppose that $k > 1$ and X is k -random for μ .

- ▶ μ' is not recursive in X .*
- ▶ Every function recursive in X is dominated by a function recursive in μ' .*

Corollary

If $k \geq 1$, R is $(k + 1)$ -random relative to Z , and $X \equiv_{T,Z} R$, then $X \equiv_{tt,Z'} R$. Hence, X is k -random for some continuous measure.

Higher orders of randomness

NCR_k

Theorem

For every k , NCR_k is countable.

Higher orders of randomness

NCR_k

Theorem

For every k , NCR_k is countable.

We will show that every element of NCR_k is definable. However, as k increases the envelope of definability increases dramatically.

Higher orders of randomness

a cone of Turing degrees disjoint from NCR_k

Lemma

There is a $B \in 2^\omega$, such that $X \geq_T B$ implies $X \notin NCR_k$.

Higher orders of randomness

a cone of Turing degrees disjoint from NCR_k

Lemma

There is a $B \in 2^\omega$, such that $X \geq_T B$ implies $X \notin NCR_k$.

Proof

A Borel subset of $\neg NCR_k$. Suppose $Z \in 2^\omega$, R is $(k+1)$ -random relative to Z , and $X \equiv_T R \oplus Z$. Then, $X \equiv_{tt, Z'} R$, R is k -random relative to Z' , and so X is k -random relative to some continuous measure.

Higher orders of randomness

a cone of Turing degrees disjoint from NCR_k

Lemma

There is a $B \in 2^\omega$, such that $X \geq_T B$ implies $X \notin NCR_k$.

Proof

A Borel subset of $\neg NCR_k$. Suppose $Z \in 2^\omega$, R is $(k+1)$ -random relative to Z , and $X \equiv_T R \oplus Z$. Then, $X \equiv_{tt, Z'} R$, R is k -random relative to Z' , and so X is k -random relative to some continuous measure.

$\neg NCR_k$ contains a cone in \mathcal{D} . By the above, $\neg NCR_k$ contains the cofinal and degree-invariant set

$$\{Y : \exists Z \exists R (R \text{ is } 3\text{-random in } Z \text{ and } Y \equiv_T Z \oplus R).\}$$

This set is clearly cofinal in \mathcal{D} . By Borel Determinacy, it contains a cone in \mathcal{D} .

Higher orders of randomness

an observation about Borel Determinacy

- ▶ Martin's proof of Borel Determinacy starts with a description of a Borel game and produces a winning strategy for one of the players.

Higher orders of randomness

an observation about Borel Determinacy

- ▶ Martin's proof of Borel Determinacy starts with a description of a Borel game and produces a winning strategy for one of the players.
- ▶ The more complicated the game is in the Borel hierarchy, the more iterates of the power set of the continuum are used in producing the strategy.

Higher orders of randomness

an observation about Borel Determinacy

- ▶ Martin's proof of Borel Determinacy starts with a description of a Borel game and produces a winning strategy for one of the players.
- ▶ The more complicated the game is in the Borel hierarchy, the more iterates of the power set of the continuum are used in producing the strategy.
- ▶ The absoluteness of Π_1^1 sentences between well-founded models and the direct nature of Martin's proof imply that if G is a real parameter used to define a Borel game, then the winning strategy for that game belongs to the smallest $L_\beta[G]$ such that $L_\beta[G]$ is a model of a sufficiently large subset of ZFC .

Higher orders of randomness

an observation about Borel Determinacy

- ▶ Martin's proof of Borel Determinacy starts with a description of a Borel game and produces a winning strategy for one of the players.
- ▶ The more complicated the game is in the Borel hierarchy, the more iterates of the power set of the continuum are used in producing the strategy.
- ▶ The absoluteness of Π_1^1 sentences between well-founded models and the direct nature of Martin's proof imply that if G is a real parameter used to define a Borel game, then the winning strategy for that game belongs to the smallest $L_\beta[G]$ such that $L_\beta[G]$ is a model of a sufficiently large subset of ZFC .

We will work with models of ZFC_k^- , which is ZFC with only k iterates of the power set of ω . Let L_β be the smallest well-founded model of ZFC_k^- . Note, L_β is countable.

Higher orders of randomness

a join theorem

Lemma

Suppose that $X \notin L_\beta$. Then there is a G such that

- ▶ *$L_\beta[G]$ is a model of ZFC_k^- .*
- ▶ *Every element of $2^\omega \cap L_\beta[G]$ is recursive in $X \oplus G$.*

Higher orders of randomness

a joint theorem

Lemma

Suppose that $X \notin L_\beta$. Then there is a G such that

- ▶ *$L_\beta[G]$ is a model of ZFC_k^- .*
- ▶ *Every element of $2^\omega \cap L_\beta[G]$ is recursive in $X \oplus G$.*

Proof

Use Kumabe–Slaman forcing P to generically extend L_β . This forcing builds a functional Φ_G by finite approximation.

Higher orders of randomness

Kumabe-Slaman forcing in detail

- ▶ The elements p of the forcing partial order P are pairs (Φ_p, \vec{X}_p) in which Φ_p is a finite use-monotone functional and \vec{X}_p is a finite subset of 2^ω .
- ▶ If p and q are elements of P , then $p \geq q$ if and only if
 - ▶ $\Phi_p \subseteq \Phi_q$ and for all $(x_q, y_q, \sigma_q) \in \Phi_q \setminus \Phi_p$ and all $(x_p, y_p, \sigma_p) \in \Phi_p$, the length of σ_q is greater than the length of σ_p ,
 - ▶ $\vec{X}_p \subseteq \vec{X}_q$,
 - ▶ for every x, y , and $X \in \vec{X}_p$, if $\Phi_q(x, X) = y$ then $\Phi_p(x, X) = y$.

Higher orders of randomness

a join theorem

The definability of forcing and compactness show that if $D \in L_\beta$ is dense and $p \in P$, then there is a q in D extending p such that q makes no additional commitments about $\Phi_G(X)$.

Thus, for each term τ in the forcing language and each $n \in \omega$, it is possible to decide $n \in \tau$ and then extend our commitment on $\Phi_G(X)$ to record this decision.

We construct G in ω -many steps so that G is P -generic for L_β and so that $\Phi_G(X)$ records what is forced during our construction. □

Higher orders of randomness

$$NCR_k \subseteq L_\beta.$$

Corollary

$NCR_k \subseteq L_\beta$. Hence, NCR_k is countable.

Proof

Suppose $X \notin L_\beta$ and apply the previous lemma to obtain a G such that $L_\beta[G]$ is a model of ZFC_k^- and every element of $2^\omega \cap L_\beta[G]$ is recursive in $X \oplus G$.

Relative to G , X belongs to every cone with base in $L_\beta[G]$. By a quantifier count for the randomness game, X belongs to the cone avoiding NCR_k relative to G .

Thus, there is a continuous measure μ such that X is k -random for μ relative to G .

But then, X is k -random for a continuous μ , as required.

Higher orders of randomness

obtaining μ from X

Given $X \notin L_\beta$, we showed that there is a continuous μ such that X is k -random for μ . We can define such a μ using X and a presentation of the elementary diagram of L_β as a countable model.

Definition

- ▶ $L_{\omega_1^{CK}}$ denotes the collection of sets which are hyperarithmetically represented.
- ▶ \mathcal{O} denotes the existential theory of $L_{\omega_1^{CK}}$ —the complete Π_1^1 -subset of ω .

Proposition (Well-known)

Every recursive closed game on ω^ω for which the closed player wins, has a winning strategy recursive in \mathcal{O} .

NCR_1 – Optimized Cone Argument

Lemma

The set

$$\{Y : \exists Z \exists R (R \text{ is 2-random in } Z \text{ and } Y \equiv_T Z \oplus R).\}$$

contains a closed subset of ω^ω whose degrees are cofinal in \mathcal{D} .

NCR_1 – Optimized Cone Argument

Lemma

The set

$$\{Y : \exists Z \exists R (R \text{ is 2-random in } Z \text{ and } Y \equiv_T Z \oplus R).\}$$

contains a closed subset of ω^ω whose degrees are cofinal in \mathcal{D} .

Consequently, by the previous argument, if $Y \geq_T \mathcal{O}$,

$$\exists Z \exists R (R \text{ is 2-random in } Z \text{ and } Y \equiv_T Z \oplus R).\}$$

and so $Y \notin NCR_1$.

NCR_1 – Optimized Countability Argument

Theorem (Woodin)

If $X \in 2^\omega$ is not hyperarithmetic, then there is a $G \in 2^\omega$ such that $X \oplus G \geq \mathcal{O}^G$.

NCR_1 – Optimized Countability Argument

Theorem (Woodin)

If $X \in 2^\omega$ is not hyperarithmetical, then there is a $G \in 2^\omega$ such that $X \oplus G \geq \mathcal{O}^G$.

Theorem

If $X \in 2^\omega$ is not hyperarithmetical, then there is a representation m of a continuous measure μ such that X is $1-\mu$ -random relative to m .