Fractal Classes of Matroids

General Theme

There exist strong theorems for matroids representable over finite fields, but it all turns to custard for infinite fields.

General Theme

There exist strong theorems for matroids representable over finite fields, but it all turns to custard for infinite fields.

In what follows GF(q) is a finite field and \mathbb{F} is an infinite field.

General Theme

There exist strong theorems for matroids representable over finite fields, but it all turns to custard for infinite fields.

In what follows GF(q) is a finite field and \mathbb{F} is an infinite field.

No harm in thinking of \mathbb{F} as the real numbers.

The class of GF(q)-representable matroids is well-quasi-ordered under the minor order.

The class of GF(q)-representable matroids is well-quasi-ordered under the minor order.

Equivalently: Every minor-closed class of GF(q)-representable matroids has a finite number of GF(q)-representable excluded minors.

Custard

The class of $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids is not well-quasi-ordered.

Let \mathcal{M} be a minor-closed class of GF(q)-representable matroids. Then there is a polynomial-time algorithm to decide if a GF(q)-representable matroid belongs to \mathcal{M} .

Custard

Determining membership of minor-closed classes of $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids is typically undecidable.

Custard

Determining membership of minor-closed classes of $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids is typically undecidable.

Proof

There are only countable many algorithms and there are uncountably many minor-closed classes of $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids.

Bad News All Round

For both GF(q) and \mathbb{F} , it requires exponentially many rank evaluations to decide if a matroid given by a rank oracle is representable over the field.

Bad News All Round

For both GF(q) and \mathbb{F} , it requires exponentially many rank evaluations to decide if a matroid given by a rank oracle is representable over the field.

Good News

If q is prime, then an n-element matroid can be proved not to be representable over GF(q) using only $O(n^2)$ rank evaluations.

Bad News All Round

For both GF(q) and \mathbb{F} , it requires exponentially many rank evaluations to decide if a matroid given by a rank oracle is representable over the field.

Good News

If q is prime, then an n-element matroid can be proved not to be representable over GF(q) using only $O(n^2)$ rank evaluations.

We conjecture that the good news extends to all finite fields.

Ben David and Geelen's Custard

It requires exponentially many rank evaluations to prove that a matroid is not representable over the reals.

Ben David and Geelen's Custard

It requires exponentially many rank evaluations to prove that a matroid is not representable over the reals.

The custard almost certainly extends to any infinite field.

Ben David and Geelen's Custard

It requires exponentially many rank evaluations to prove that a matroid is not representable over the reals.

The custard almost certainly extends to any infinite field.

What about the intersection of all infinite fields?

Rota's Conjecture

There are a finite number of excluded minors for GF(q)-representability.

Rota's Conjecture

There are a finite number of excluded minors for GF(q)-representability.

Well-known custard

There are an infinite number of excluded minors for $\ensuremath{\mathbb{F}}\xspace$ -representability.

Rota's Conjecture

There are a finite number of excluded minors for GF(q)-representability.

Well-known custard

There are an infinite number of excluded minors for $\ensuremath{\mathbb{F}}\xspace$ -representability.

Deeper Custard

Let M be an \mathbb{F} -representable matroid. Then there is an excluded minor for \mathbb{F} -representability that contains M as a minor.

How deep can the custard get?

Let $\mathbb{F}(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element \mathbb{F} -representable matroids, and $\mathbb{F}^+(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element excluded minors for \mathbb{F} -representable matroids.

How deep can the custard get?

Let $\mathbb{F}(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element \mathbb{F} -representable matroids, and $\mathbb{F}^+(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element excluded minors for \mathbb{F} -representable matroids.

Deep Custard Conjecture

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{F}(n)}{\mathbb{F}(n)+\mathbb{F}^+(n)}=0.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be a minor-closed class of matroids. Let $\mu(n)$ be the number of *n*-element members of \mathcal{M} and let $\mu^+(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element excluded minors for \mathcal{M} . Let

$$f(n) = \frac{\mu(n)}{\mu(n) + \mu^+(n)}.$$

Then \mathcal{M} is a fractal class of matroids if $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$ exists and is equal to 0.

Let \mathcal{M} be a minor-closed class of matroids. Let $\mu(n)$ be the number of *n*-element members of \mathcal{M} and let $\mu^+(n)$ denote the number of *n*-element excluded minors for \mathcal{M} . Let

$$f(n) = \frac{\mu(n)}{\mu(n) + \mu^+(n)}.$$

Then \mathcal{M} is a fractal class of matroids if $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$ exists and is equal to 0.

Geelen's Conjecture

Fractal classes of matroids do not exist.

Let S_t denote the class of spikes that have at most t circuit-hyperplanes together with their minors.

Theoremoid

If $t \geq 5$, then S_t is a fractal class of matroids.

Say that the minor-closed class \mathcal{M} is smooth if $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$ exists and is equal to 1.

Say that the minor-closed class \mathcal{M} is smooth if $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$ exists and is equal to 1.

Conjecture

A minor-closed class of matroids is either smooth or fractal.

How rare are fractal classes? There are uncountably many minor-closed classes of matroids altogether.

How rare are fractal classes? There are uncountably many minor-closed classes of matroids altogether.

Conjecture

There are uncountably many fractal classes.

How rare are fractal classes? There are uncountably many minor-closed classes of matroids altogether.

Conjecture

There are uncountably many fractal classes.

Theorem

There are uncountably many smooth classes.

Let \mathcal{M} be a minor-closed class of matroids, let \mathcal{M}_1 denote the members of \mathcal{M} together with their excluded minors, \mathcal{M}_2 denote the members of \mathcal{M}_1 together with their excluded minors etc. Then \mathcal{M} is recursively fractal if \mathcal{M}_i is a fractal class for all *i*.

Recursively fractal classes exist.

Recursively fractal classes exist.

Conjecture

If $\mathbb F$ is an infinite field, then the $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids are a recursively fractal class.

Recursively fractal classes exist.

Conjecture

If $\mathbb F$ is an infinite field, then the $\mathbb F\text{-representable}$ matroids are a recursively fractal class.

Conjecture

All fractal classes are recursively fractal.

I think the following is true.

Propositionoid

If \mathcal{M} is smooth, then \mathcal{M}_i is smooth for all *i*.

Relatively Fractal Classes

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be minor-closed classes of matroids such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Then \mathcal{M} is fractal relative to \mathcal{N} if the excluded minors for \mathcal{M} that belong to \mathcal{N} dominate the members of \mathcal{M} .

Relatively Fractal Classes

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be minor-closed classes of matroids such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Then \mathcal{M} is fractal relative to \mathcal{N} if the excluded minors for \mathcal{M} that belong to \mathcal{N} dominate the members of \mathcal{M} .

In other words we change our universe to a subclass of matroids.

Conjecture

There exist classes of matroids that are fractal relative to the class of real-representable matroids.

Conjecture

There exist classes of matroids that are fractal relative to the class of real-representable matroids.

Perhaps real-representable spikes are worth a look?

Note that there are infinite antichains of graphs in the subgraph order.

Note that there are infinite antichains of graphs in the subgraph order.

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs closed under subgraphs. Let $\mu(n)$ denote the number of members of \mathcal{G} with *n* edges and $\mu^+(n)$ denote the number of minimal forbidden subgraphs for \mathcal{G} with *n* eges. Then \mathcal{G} is subgraph fractal if blah blah.

Note that there are infinite antichains of graphs in the subgraph order.

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs closed under subgraphs. Let $\mu(n)$ denote the number of members of \mathcal{G} with *n* edges and $\mu^+(n)$ denote the number of minimal forbidden subgraphs for \mathcal{G} with *n* eges. Then \mathcal{G} is subgraph fractal if blah blah.

Robin Thomas asked:

Do subgraph fractal classes exist?

Note that there are infinite antichains of graphs in the subgraph order.

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs closed under subgraphs. Let $\mu(n)$ denote the number of members of \mathcal{G} with *n* edges and $\mu^+(n)$ denote the number of minimal forbidden subgraphs for \mathcal{G} with *n* eges. Then \mathcal{G} is subgraph fractal if blah blah.

Robin Thomas asked:

Do subgraph fractal classes exist?

The belief is probably not.

Is this just ad hoc combinatorics?

What are the right questions to ask for matroids over infinite fields?

Basic Problem What are the right questions to ask for matroids over infinite fields?

Standard combinatorial thinking works for matroids over finite fields.

What are the right questions to ask for matroids over infinite fields?

Standard combinatorial thinking works for matroids over finite fields.

Pigeonhole matroids.

What are the right questions to ask for matroids over infinite fields?

Standard combinatorial thinking works for matroids over finite fields.

- Pigeonhole matroids.
- Standard complexity classes.

What are the right questions to ask for matroids over infinite fields?

Standard combinatorial thinking works for matroids over finite fields.

- Pigeonhole matroids.
- Standard complexity classes.
- Imposing structure leads to good algorithms.

Conjecture

A matroid with huge branch width has one of the following as a minor: $U_{n,2n}$; the cycle matroid of a large grid; the bicycle matroid of a large grid or its dual.

Conjecture

A matroid with huge branch width has one of the following as a minor: $U_{n,2n}$; the cycle matroid of a large grid; the bicycle matroid of a large grid or its dual.

Would be a stunning theorem but ...

Conjecture

A matroid with huge branch width has one of the following as a minor: $U_{n,2n}$; the cycle matroid of a large grid; the bicycle matroid of a large grid or its dual.

Would be a stunning theorem but ...

Over infinite fields, bounding branch width doesn't help. All sorts of problems; even in rank 3.

Conjecture

A matroid with huge branch width has one of the following as a minor: $U_{n,2n}$; the cycle matroid of a large grid; the bicycle matroid of a large grid or its dual.

Would be a stunning theorem but ...

Over infinite fields, bounding branch width doesn't help. All sorts of problems; even in rank 3.

► For which minor-closed classes of *F*-representable matroids is membership decidable?

- ► For which minor-closed classes of *F*-representable matroids is membership decidable?
- ► Are there helpful width parameters for F-representable matroids?

- ► For which minor-closed classes of *F*-representable matroids is membership decidable?
- ► Are there helpful width parameters for F-representable matroids?
- Let R_∞ denote the class of matroids representable over all infinite fields. Is the custard just as deep for R_∞ as for F?

- ► For which minor-closed classes of *F*-representable matroids is membership decidable?
- ► Are there helpful width parameters for F-representable matroids?
- Let R_∞ denote the class of matroids representable over all infinite fields. Is the custard just as deep for R_∞ as for F?
- Would the terminology "fractal matroid" help or hinder a grant application?