

# Interactions of computability and effective group theory.

Alexander Melnikov  
(Joint work with Rod Downey)

The University of Auckland

Wellington, ALC 2011.

- 1 Definitions and a brief history.
- 2 Computable abelian groups.
- 3 Results.

# Definitions and a brief history

- The study of effective procedures in group theory pre-dates the formal definition of an algorithm (Max Dehn 1911).

# Definitions and a brief history

- The study of effective procedures in group theory pre-dates the formal definition of an algorithm (Max Dehn 1911).
- The systematic study of effective procedures in group theory was initiated by Higman, Rabin and Mal'cev in 1960's.

# Definitions and a brief history

- The study of effective procedures in group theory pre-dates the formal definition of an algorithm (Max Dehn 1911).
- The systematic study of effective procedures in group theory was initiated by Higman, Rabin and Mal'cev in 1960's.
- Such studies can be generalized to other algebraic structures (Herrmann 1920's, van der Waerden 1930's, Rabin 1960's , Maltsev 1960's).

# Definitions and a brief history

The main definition in this talk is:

Definition (Rabin 1960's; Mal'cev 1960's)

*A countably infinite group is **computable** if its domain can be identified with the natural numbers so that the group operation becomes a computable function.*

# Definitions and a brief history

The main definition in this talk is:

**Definition (Rabin 1960's; Mal'cev 1960's)**

*A countably infinite group is **computable** if its domain can be identified with the natural numbers so that the group operation becomes a computable function.*

A computable group is not the same as a **recursive group** (Higman, 1960):

# Definitions and a brief history

The main definition in this talk is:

**Definition (Rabin 1960's; Mal'cev 1960's)**

*A countably infinite group is **computable** if its domain can be identified with the natural numbers so that the group operation becomes a computable function.*

A computable group is not the same as a **recursive group** (Higman, 1960):

- A recursive group may have undecidable word problem;



# Definitions and a brief history

The main definition in this talk is:

**Definition (Rabin 1960's; Mal'cev 1960's)**

*A countably infinite group is **computable** if its domain can be identified with the natural numbers so that the group operation becomes a computable function.*

A computable group is not the same as a **recursive group** (Higman, 1960):

- A recursive group may have undecidable word problem;
- Higman mainly restricted himself to finitely generated groups.

# Definitions and a brief history

## Theorem (Mal'cev 1960's)

There exists two **isomorphic** computable abelian groups which are **not** computably isomorphic.

# Definitions and a brief history

## Theorem (Mal'cev 1960's)

There exists two **isomorphic** computable abelian groups which are **not** **computably isomorphic**.

## Definition

*A group is*

***autostable** or **computably categorical***

*if there exists a computable isomorphism between any two isomorphic computable copies of this group.*

## Problem

Describe abelian groups which are computable (relative to a given oracle).

# Computable abelian groups

## Problem

Describe abelian groups which are computable (relative to a given oracle).

## Problem

Describe abelian groups which are computably categorical (relative to a given oracle).

# Computable abelian groups

Theorem (Khisamiev 1970's, Ash-Knight-Oates 1980's)

A certain characterization of computable reduced abelian  $p$ -groups of finite Ulm type in terms of **limitwise monotonic approximations of functions**.

## Theorem (Khisamiev 1970's, Ash-Knight-Oates 1980's)

A certain characterization of computable reduced abelian  $p$ -groups of finite Ulm type in terms of **limitwise monotonic approximations of functions**.

Limitwise monotonic approximations found applications:

- in computable linear orders (Harris),
- in computable models of  $\aleph_1$ -categorical theories (Khoussainov, Nies, Shore),
- in computable equivalence structures (Harizanova et al.),
- in a characterization of high c.e. degrees (Downey, Kach, Turetsky).

# Computable abelian groups

Theorem (Khisamiev 1970's, Ash-Knight-Oates 1980's)

A certain characterization of computable reduced abelian  $p$ -groups of finite Ulm type in terms of **limitwise monotonic approximations of functions**.

Limitwise monotonic approximations found applications:

- in computable linear orders (Harris),
- in computable models of  $\aleph_1$ -categorical theories (Khoussainov, Nies, Shore),
- in computable equivalence structures (Harizanova et al.),
- in a characterization of high c.e. degrees (Downey, Kach, Turetsky).

The general case of arbitrary Ulm type is open.



## Problem (Khisamiev 1990's)

*Describe computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} Q^{(p)}$ , where  $P$  is a set of primes, and  $Q^{(p)} = \{ \frac{n}{p^k} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ .*

# Computable abelian groups

## Problem (Khisamiev 1990's)

*Describe computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} Q^{(p)}$ , where  $P$  is a set of primes, and  $Q^{(p)} = \{ \frac{n}{p^k} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ .*

## Theorem (Khisamiev 2002)

The group  $G_P$  is computable with some extra condition if and only if  $P$  is not in a certain proper subclass of hh-immune sets.

# Computable abelian groups

## Problem (Khisamiev 1990's)

*Describe computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_{p \in P} Q^{(p)}$ , where  $P$  is a set of primes, and  $Q^{(p)} = \{ \frac{n}{p^k} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N} \}$ .*

## Theorem (Khisamiev 2002)

The group  $G_P$  is computable with some extra condition if and only if  $P$  is not in a certain proper subclass of hh-immune sets.

## Theorem (Downey, Goncharov, Knight et al. 2010)

The group  $G_P$  is computable if and only if  $P$  belongs to  $\Sigma_3^0$  level of the Arithmetic Hierarchy.

## (Conclusion)

- There is no description of computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i \leq \mathbb{Q}$ ; such groups are called **completely decomposable**.

## (Conclusion)

- There is no description of computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i \leq \mathbb{Q}$ ; such groups are called **completely decomposable**.
- Even for the special case of Khisamiev's group the proof is not trivial.

## (Conclusion)

- There is no description of computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i \leq \mathbb{Q}$ ; such groups are called **completely decomposable**.
- Even for the special case of Khisamiev's group the proof is not trivial.
- Completely decomposable groups have a nice algebraic structure theory developed by Baer (1930's).

## (Conclusion)

- There is no description of computable groups of the form  $\bigoplus_i H_i$ , where each  $H_i \leq \mathbb{Q}$ ; such groups are called **completely decomposable**.
- Even for the special case of Khisamiev's group the proof is not trivial.
- Completely decomposable groups have a nice algebraic structure theory developed by Baer (1930's).
- Countable torsion-free abelian groups (in general) have not been classified up to an isomorphism.

# Completely decomposable groups

Thus, we restrict ourselves to:

## Problem

Develop the theory of computable completely decomposable groups.

If all the elementary summands of  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$  are isomorphic, then  $G$  is said to be **homogeneous**.



# Completely decomposable groups

Thus, we restrict ourselves to:

## Problem

Develop the theory of computable completely decomposable groups.

If all the elementary summands of  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$  are isomorphic, then  $G$  is said to be **homogeneous**.

## Theorem (Downey and M., 2011)

Every computable homogeneous completely decomposable group is

$\Delta_3^0$  categorical.

$\Delta_3^0$  = “computable in the second iteration of the Halting problem”.

# Completely decomposable groups

- For the proof of this theorem we introduce a **new algebraic notion** of  $S$ -independence:

## Definition

For a set of primes  $S \neq \emptyset$ , we say that elements  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of a group are  $S$ -independent if

$$p \mid \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} m_i b_i \text{ implies } \bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} p \mid m_i,$$

for all integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  and  $p \in S$ .

# Completely decomposable groups

- For the proof of this theorem we introduce a **new algebraic notion** of  $S$ -independence:

## Definition

For a set of primes  $S \neq \emptyset$ , we say that elements  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of a group are  $S$ -independent if

$$p \mid \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} m_i b_i \text{ implies } \bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} p \mid m_i,$$

for all integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  and  $p \in S$ .

- This notion is a natural generalization of the classical notion of  $p$ -independence to **sets** of primes.

# Completely decomposable groups

- For the proof of this theorem we introduce a **new algebraic notion** of  $S$ -independence:

## Definition

For a set of primes  $S \neq \emptyset$ , we say that elements  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  of a group are  $S$ -independent if

$$p \mid \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} m_i b_i \text{ implies } \bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} p \mid m_i,$$

for all integers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$  and  $p \in S$ .

- This notion is a natural generalization of the classical notion of  $p$ -independence to **sets** of primes.
- $S$ -independence is crucial for the proof.

# Completely decomposable groups

Folklore (Follows from Nurtazin's results (1980's).)

A group of the form  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$  is never computably categorical.

# Completely decomposable groups

Folklore (Follows from Nurtazin's results (1980's).)

A group of the form  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$  is never computably categorical.

## Problem

Which homogeneous completely decomposable groups are

$\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

(That is, categorical relative to the Halting problem.)

# Completely decomposable groups

Folklore (Follows from Nurtazin's results (1980's).)

A group of the form  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H_i$  is never computably categorical.

## Problem

Which homogeneous completely decomposable groups are

$\Delta_2^0$ -categorical?

(That is, categorical relative to the Halting problem.)

Remarkably, we can characterize when exactly this happens using

semi-low sets.

## Definition (Soare 1982)

We say that a set  $S$  is *semi-low* if its weak jump

$$H_S = \{e : W_e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$$

is computable in the Halting problem.



# Completely decomposable groups

## Definition (Soare 1982)

We say that a set  $S$  is *semi-low* if its weak jump

$$H_S = \{e : W_e \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$$

is computable in the Halting problem.

Soare introduced semi-lowness to study the lattice  $\mathcal{E}$  of c.e. sets under set-theoretical operations:

## Theorem (Soare 1982)

If  $A$  is c.e. and coinfinite and  $\overline{A}$  is semi-low then the principal filter  $\{B : A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{E}\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

# Completely decomposable groups

Let  $Q^{(P)}$  be the subgroup of  $(Q, +)$  generated by

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{p^m} : p \in P \text{ and } m \in \omega \right\}.$$

## Theorem (Downey and M.)

A homogeneous completely decomposable group  $G = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} H$  is  $\Delta_2^0$ -categorical if and only if  $H \cong Q^{(P)}$ , where  $P$  is a c.e. set of primes with a semi-low complement.

# Completely decomposable groups

- The proof splits into 5 cases, depending on the algebraic type of the group and its effectiveness conditions.

# Completely decomposable groups

- The proof splits into 5 cases, depending on the algebraic type of the group and its effectiveness conditions.
- Different cases need different algebraic strategies.

# Completely decomposable groups

- The proof splits into 5 cases, depending on the algebraic type of the group and its effectiveness conditions.
- Different cases need different algebraic strategies.
- We introduce a new computably-theoretic concept of **computable setting time** which is crucial for the proof.

# Completely decomposable groups

## Definition (Computable setting time)

Let  $\alpha = (h_i)_{i \in \omega}$  be a sequence in  $(\omega \cup \{\infty\})^\omega$  and suppose

$$h_i = \sup_s h_{i,s},$$

for a computable double-sequence  $(h_{i,s})_{i,s \in \omega}$ .

We say that  $\alpha$  has a **computable setting time** if there is a (total) computable function  $\psi$  such that

$$h_i = \begin{cases} h_{i,\psi(i)}, & \text{if } h_i \text{ is finite,} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every  $i$ .

# Some further problems:

## Problem

Does computable setting time have another applications in computable model theory?

## Problem

Extend the results to other classes of completely decomposable abelian groups.

## Problem

Study the effective content of  $\mathcal{S}$ -independence.

## Problem

Extend the results to free modules over computable rings.

Thank you!