School Of Mathematics, Statistics, and Operations Research Te Kura Mātai Tatauranga, Rangahau Pūnaha

| MATH 321/322/323 Applied Mathematics T1 and T2 202 |
|--|
|--|

Module on Mechanix: Assignment 4

- This fourth and final assignment will deal with the interplay between the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian descriptions of classical mechanics.
- By now you should have read all the notes.
- Applied math level proofs are good enough I do not demand absolute analytical rigour, but do want to see enough information so that any interested reader could fill in any missing steps.
- If you find any typos in the notes or assignment, please let me know.
- 1. Consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = \sqrt{m_0^2 c^4 + |\vec{p}|^2 c^2} + V(\vec{x}).$$

(You should find this question "straightforward", it is closely related to the last question in Assignment 3; I have broken things down into simple little steps.)

(a) You will already have written down Hamilton's equations for this particular Hamiltonian, and you will have solved for \vec{p} as a function of \vec{x} , (and c and m_0).

Rewrite those key results.

(b) Now perform the transformation discussed in the notes whereby you can take a Hamiltonian and transform it into an equivalent Lagrangian.

Explicitly evaluate

 $L(\vec{x}, \vec{x})$

as a function of m_0 , c, \vec{x} , and $V(\vec{x})$.

- (c) Find the Euler–Lagrange equations coming from this Lagrangian $L(\vec{x}, \vec{x})$. Interpret them physically.
- (d) Take the limit $|\vec{x}| \ll c$ in the Euler–Lagrange equations you have just derived. What do you get? Interpret your result.
- (e) Take the limit $|\vec{x}| \ll c$ directly in the Lagrangian you derived above. Be certain to keep the first non-trivial term in $|\vec{x}|$. What do you get? Interpret your result.
- (f) Again take the limit $|\vec{x}| \ll c$ directly in the Lagrangian you derived above, but now keep the first *two* nontrivial terms in \vec{x} . What do you get? Interpret your result.
- 2. Consider a Lagrangian of the form:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} m_{ij} \, \dot{x}^{i} \, \dot{x}^{j} \right\} - V(x^{k}).$$

- (a) Find the momenta p_i as functions of m_{ij} and \dot{x}^i .
- (b) Rewrite this relation between the p_i and the \dot{x}^i as a linear matrix equation involving the matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$.
- (c) Invert this relationship to find the velocities \dot{x}^i as functions of the momenta p_i and the quantities m_{ij} .

(You may find it useful to adopt the notation that m^{ij} stands for the matrix inverse M^{-1} of the $N \times N$ matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ — note carefully the use of superscripts rather than subscripts — this is one of those tricky notational conventions that looks a little odd the first time you see it, but is ultimately *extremely* useful, and is also *extremely* common and standard.)

- (d) Using these results, find the equivalent Hamiltonian $H(p_i, x^i)$.
- (e) Develop a good physical interpretation for the $N \times N$ matrix m_{ij} .
- 3. Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = \frac{|\vec{p} - q \ \vec{A}(\vec{x})|^2}{2m} + q \ V(\vec{x})$$

that we looked at in the previous assignment.

(a) Show that this is equivalent to the Lagrangian

$$L(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{\vec{x}}\right)^2 - q\left\{V(\vec{x}) + \dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x})\right\}.$$

- (b) Write down the Euler–Lagrange equations.
- (c) Physically interpret these results.
- 4. Consider the Hamiltonian

$$H(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = \sqrt{m_0^2 c^4 + c^2 |\vec{p} - q\vec{A}|^2} + q V(\vec{x}).$$

This is the relativistic generalization of the Hamiltonian in the previous question.

- (a) Demonstrate that if $|\vec{p} q\vec{A}|^2 \ll m_0^2 c^2$ this relativistic Hamiltonian will reduce to the non-relativistic Hamiltonian of the previous question.
- (b) Show that this is equivalent to the Lagrangian

$$L(\vec{p}, \vec{x}) = -m_0 \sqrt{1 - \left(\dot{\vec{x}}\right)^2 / c^2} + q \left\{ V(\vec{x}) + \dot{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x}) \right\}.$$

- (c) Demonstrate that if $(\dot{\vec{x}})^2 \ll c^2$ this relativistic Lagrangian will reduce to the non-relativistic Lagrangian of the previous question.
- (d) Write down the Euler–Lagrange equations for the full relativistic Lagrangian.
- (e) How do they differ from the Euler–Lagrange equations of the nonrelativistic Lagrangian of the previous question.
- (f) Physically interpret these results.

- End of fourth and final assignment in the undergraduate version of the Mechanix module.
- If you are taking this module as part of Honours-level Applied Math, be sure to complete the additional Assignment 5.