

Module on Mechanics: Assignment 5

- This fifth assignment is specific to the honours-level mechanics module (Math 466).
- You do *not* need to do this assignment if you are enrolled in 3rd-year Math 321/322/323.
- Let me know of any typos.

1. Elliptical orbits in Newtonian gravity:

In previous assignments we have already seen that

$$(\text{circular orbits}) + (\text{Kepler's laws}) \Rightarrow (\text{inverse square law}).$$

We now want to check that

$$(\text{inverse square law}) \Rightarrow (\text{elliptic orbits}),$$

or more generally

$$(\text{inverse square law}) \Rightarrow (\text{circular/elliptic/parabolic/hyperbolic orbits}),$$

or even more generally

$$(\text{Kepler's laws}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{inverse square law}).$$

Note that this result goes all the way back to Newton — and in fact establishing this particular, result $(\text{inverse square law}) \Rightarrow (\text{elliptic orbits})$, was the primary reason Newton developed his version of the differential and integral calculus.

We have already seen how to decompose the gravitational 2-body problem into a trivial centre of mass (COM) motion plus a nontrivial relative motion.

Discard the trivial COM motion, and focus on the relative motion.

In terms of the reduced mass μ and total mass M the Lagrangian for the relative motion in Newtonian gravity has already been shown to simplify to

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\mu \left| \dot{\vec{x}} \right|^2 + \frac{G\mu M}{|\vec{x}|}.$$

- (a) [Trivial] Using the Euler–Lagrange equations, verify that the resulting equation of motion is the standard inverse-square law

$$\ddot{\vec{x}} = -\frac{GM}{|\vec{x}|^2} \hat{x}.$$

- (b) [Trivial] Verify that the energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\mu \left| \dot{\vec{x}} \right|^2 - \frac{G\mu M}{|\vec{x}|}$$

is conserved.

(Note the minus sign; it is important.)

- (c) [Trivial] Verify that the angular momentum

$$\vec{J} = \vec{p} \times \vec{x} = \mu \dot{\vec{x}} \times \vec{x}$$

is conserved.

- (d) [Trivial] Show that, since the angular momentum \vec{J} is conserved, one can without loss of generality choose coordinates to make \vec{J} point along the z axis.

- (e) [Trivial] If this is done, argue that the position and velocity can always be chosen to lie purely in the (x, y) plane:

$$\vec{x} = (x, y, 0); \quad \dot{\vec{x}} = (\dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0).$$

- (f) [Easy] Adopt polar coordinates (r, θ) so that we have

$$\vec{x} = (x, y, 0) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, 0) = r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0).$$

Show that

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = (\dot{x}, \dot{y}, 0) = \dot{r}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + r(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0)\dot{\theta},$$

and that

$$\ddot{\vec{x}} = (\ddot{x}, \ddot{y}, 0) = [\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2](\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + [2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta}](-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0).$$

(g) [Easy] Hence verify that the equations of motion reduce to

$$\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2 = -\frac{GM}{r^2}; \quad 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta} = 0.$$

(h) [Easy] Verify that the second of these equations is equivalent to the constancy of

$$|\vec{J}| = \mu r^2 \dot{\theta}.$$

(i) [Easy] Hence show that

$$\ddot{r} = \frac{J^2}{\mu^2 r^3} - \frac{GM}{r^2}.$$

You could in principle integrate this ODE directly — good luck.

(j) [Devious] Instead let's be a little devious — write $r = r(\theta)$ and show that

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{d\theta} \dot{\theta} = \frac{dr}{d\theta} \frac{J}{\mu r^2}.$$

(k) [Devious] Thence show

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{r} &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\frac{dr}{d\theta} \frac{J}{\mu r^2} \right) \dot{\theta} \\ &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\frac{dr}{d\theta} \frac{J}{\mu r^2} \right) \frac{J}{\mu r^2} \\ &= \frac{d^2 r}{d\theta^2} \frac{J^2}{\mu^2 r^4} - 2 \left(\frac{dr}{d\theta} \right)^2 \frac{J^2}{\mu^2 r^5}. \end{aligned}$$

(l) [Devious] Now let's be even more devious — write

$$u(\theta) = \frac{1}{r(\theta)},$$

and show that

$$\frac{du}{d\theta} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{dr}{d\theta},$$

and that

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^2r}{d\theta^2} + \frac{2}{r^3} \left(\frac{dr}{d\theta} \right)^2.$$

(m) [Easy] Hence deduce

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{J^2}{m^2 r^2} \frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{J^2 u^2}{m^2} \frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2}.$$

(n) [Easy] Inserting this into the radial equation of motion deduce

$$-\frac{J^2 u^2}{\mu^2} \frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} = \frac{J^2 u^3}{\mu^2} - GMu^2,$$

and from this obtain

$$\frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} = \frac{GM\mu^2}{J^2} - u.$$

(o) [Easy] The virtue of taking these extremely devious intermediate steps is that this last ODE is now very easy to integrate.

Define

$$\tilde{u} = u - \frac{GM\mu^2}{J^2},$$

so that

$$\frac{d^2\tilde{u}}{d\theta^2} = -\tilde{u}.$$

Show that the general solution to this last ODE is:

$$\tilde{u}(\theta) = A \cos(\theta + B).$$

(p) [Easy] From this deduce

$$u(\theta) = \frac{GM\mu^2}{J^2} + A \cos(\theta + B),$$

whence

$$r(\theta) = \frac{1}{\frac{GM\mu^2}{J^2} + A \cos(\theta + B)},$$

which one can rewrite as

$$r(\theta) = \frac{J^2}{GM\mu^2} \frac{1}{1 + e \cos(\theta + B)},$$

or even better as

$$r(\theta) = \frac{J^2}{GM\mu^2(1 - e^2)} \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \cos(\theta + B)}.$$

Recognize that this is one of the standard forms of representing an ellipse (with polar coordinates relative to one of the foci of the ellipse).

Remember Kepler's first law: the planets move in ellipses with the sun at one focus.

(A more precise statement is that the planets move in ellipses with the 2-body center of mass at one focus).

The quantity e is the eccentricity of the ellipse.

The quantity

$$a = \frac{J^2}{GM\mu^2}$$

is called the **semi latus rectum** of the ellipse.

- (q) [Easy] Calculate the semi major axis of the ellipse.
- (r) [Easy] Calculate the semi minor axis of the ellipse.
- (s) [Easy] What happens if $e = 0$?
Physically interpret this situation.
- (t) [Easy] What happens if $e = 1$?
Physically interpret this situation.
- (u) [Easy] What happens if $e > 1$?
Physically interpret this situation.
(Yes, this does happen in the "real world".)

I realise this has been somewhat painful — but just think what Newton had to do when coming up with an equivalent argument *and* inventing calculus at the same time.

2. Virial theorem:

The so-called virial theorem is most often formulated and used within the context of non-relativistic mechanics of a n -body system interacting via central forces.

Let us consider the Lagrangian

$$L = T - V_{\text{total}},$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \left| \dot{\vec{x}}_i \right|^2; \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i < j} V(|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|).$$

Define quantities called the “scalar moment of inertia” I , and the “scalar virial” G , by:

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i |\vec{x}_i|^2; \quad \text{and} \quad G = \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \dot{\vec{x}}_i \cdot \vec{x}_i.$$

(a) [Easy]

Assuming the individual masses are constant show that

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = 2G.$$

(b) [Easy]

Show

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = 2T + \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{F}_i \cdot \vec{x}_i.$$

(c) [Straightforward]

Define $r_{ij} = |\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|$.

Using the fact that V_{total} is assumed to be sum of 2-body central potentials, demonstrate that

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = 2T - \sum_{i < j} \frac{dV}{dr} \Big|_{r_{ij}} r_{ij}.$$

(d) [Easy]

For a power law potential $V(r) = \alpha r^\beta$ show that this implies

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = 2T - \beta V_{\text{total}}.$$

(e) [Easy]

In particular, for n particles interacting via Newtonian gravity or electrostatic forces show that this implies

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = 2T + V_{\text{total}}.$$

(f) [Easy]

If the system is assumed to undergo periodic motion show that the time average of dG/dt vanishes identically:

$$\left\langle \frac{dG}{dt} \right\rangle = 0.$$

Note: Even if the motion is not exactly periodic there are still situations under which one can usefully *approximate*

$$\left\langle \frac{dG}{dt} \right\rangle \approx 0.$$

(g) [Easy]

Under the assumption of periodic motion under a power-law potential $V(r) = \alpha r^\beta$ show:

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{\beta}{2} \langle V_{\text{total}} \rangle.$$

(h) [Easy]

Under the assumption of periodic motion under Newtonian gravity or electrostatic forces show:

$$\langle T \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle V_{\text{total}} \rangle.$$

(i) [Tricky]

What if anything can you say about the situation where the particles are relativistic?

Consider the quantity

$$T_i = \frac{m_i c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - m_i c^2,$$

and find an appropriate virial theorem.

Can you generalize this even further?

(j) [Tricky]

What (if anything) can you say about the situation where the 2-body forces are not a power law?

End of honours-level assignment for the mechanics module.

— # # # —